

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,473	12/17/2003		Donald K. Jones	CRD5061	8194
27777	7590	10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. J			AHMED, AAMER S		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003				3763	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/738,473	JONES ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Aamer S. Ahmed	3763
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in an any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONF.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 26, 27 and 29 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 14-17, and 19-21, 23-24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guruwaiya et al U.S. Patent Number 6,251,136. Guruwaiya et al discloses an implantable device comprising a support member (16); a bioactive agent (20) on the support member (16); and an outer barrier (22) disposed on the bioactive agent (20), the outer barrier exhibiting the characteristic of being substantially inert to bodily fluid by dissolving when exposed to an external fluid agent, heat activating agent or laser and is comprised of ethylene vinyl alcohol (col. 4 line 32); (inherent since the barrier material is the same as the present invention ethylene vinyl alcohol so the claim is structurally met, the composition is met and the device of Guruwaiya et al is fully capable of the intended use); and wherein the bioactive agent (20) takes the form of a coating applied to the support member (see figure 2); and wherein the bioactive layer is integral with the support member (see figure 4); and wherein the outer barrier (22) takes the form of a coating applied to the bioactive agent (see figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/738,473

Art Unit: 3763

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-7, 10-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guruwaiya et al in view of Eder et al U.S. Patent Number 5,980,550.

Guruwaiya et al U.S. Patent Number 6,251,136, discloses an implantable device comprising a support member (16); a bioactive agent (20) on the support member (16); and an outer barrier (22) disposed on the bioactive agent (20), the outer barrier exhibiting the characteristic of being substantially inert to bodily fluid by dissolving when exposed to an external fluid agent, heat activating agent or laser and is comprised of ethylene vinyl alcohol (col. 4 line 32); (inherent since the barrier material is the same as the present invention ethylene vinyl alcohol so the claim is structurally met, the composition is met and the device of Guruwaiya et al is fully capable of the intended use); and wherein the bioactive agent (20) takes the form of a coating applied to the support member (see figure 2); and wherein the bioactive layer is integral with the support member (see figure 4); and wherein the outer barrier (22) takes the form of a coating applied to the bioactive agent (see figure 2).

Furthermore, Guruwaiya discloses that "a variety of pharmacological agent are commercially available . . . examples of such agents include but are not limited to antibiotic, anti-thrombotic and anti-restenotic durgs . . ." (paragraph 19), but fails to explicitly disclose that the support member is a vascular occlusive helically wound metallic embolic coil nor that the bioactive coating is thrombus inducing.

Eder et al discloses a similar device in which the support member is a vascular occlusive helically wound metallic embolic coil nor that the bioactive coating is thrombus inducing (see figure 2 and col. 6 line 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the coatings of Guruwaiya with the embolic coil as taught by Eder et al in order to provide a more controlled bioactive implant.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guruwaiya et al in view of Snyder 5,658,308. Guruwaiya et discloses the device as described above in reference to claim 1, including the ethylene vinyl alcohol outer barrier coating (col. 4 line 32), (the external agent comprised of dimethyl sulfoxide is inherent since the barrier material is the same as the present invention ethylene vinyl alcohol so the claim is structurally met, the composition is met and the device of Guruwaiya et al is fully capable of the intended use). Guruwaiya fails to disclose that the bioactive agent is comprised of polyglycolic acid.

Snyder discloses a similar device in which the bioactive agent is polyglycolic acid (col. 2 line 44).

Art Unit: 3763

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the device of Guruwaiya et al by making the bioactive agent polyglycolic acid in order to increase thrombosis.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26, 27 and 29 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/07/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there combining the Guruwaiya et al and Eder references would destroy the intent and purpose of each of the references, however as discussed above Guruwaiya et al discloses that any agent may be used on the device (paragraph 19).

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,473

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Page 7

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Ahmed

Manda a di manda sunta s